Form 12508 (December 1999)		Innocent Spouse Information Request			
Your Na	me:	7	Your Social Security Number:		
Mark Lamendola			341463922		
you r	eceived with this for	tions that you can concerning the spouse orm. Some questions may not apply to you on may not be available.	and tax year(s) referenced in the letter our particular facts and circumstances or		
1.	. How did you and your spouse participate in the preparation of the tax return(s) at issue? We the tax preparer required by Jay Hoyt, who was our Tax Matters Partner and an enrolled agent. Hoyt is still my T				
	even though he's been in federal prison for over a year. The Internal Revenue Code required the IRS to remove him				
	as TMP before either of us had ever heard of him, but they refused to do so. IRS employees like Steven Mopsick &				
	Tom Rohall were making too much money from the Hoyt scam they were actively perpetrating. But, we had no know-				
	ledge of this because	e the IRS lied to us in writing and verbally so the	scam could continue.		
3.	reason to ask. Hoyt to Hoyt, along with a carry with them son well "sealed the dea What is your spon I have no idea. I do	dditional fundsour understanding was this was the sort of Trojan Horse time-bomb. After the three I" because the IRS had looked at the returns and use's highest level of education? List any	an IRS requirement) with no mention they might year statute for asssessment expired, this pretty did not assess or give any hint they would.		
4.	(Please check or	Date started (month, day, year,	mer of 1989 The are vou dragging me through this? Isn't it bad ww.mindconnection.com/hoyt/pooleyitr.htm) in the see that whole "tax debt" case is such an		
5.	the taxes for the	any divorce or separation agreements w year(s) at issue. None of the tax years in you	where you or your spouse agreed to pay or cover letter are at issue. I have never been you mentioned was 1987. IRS has three years to		

assess. You do the math. If you don't wish to obey the Statute of Limitations, then show me the law that lets you get around it. This whole exercise is moot, because there is no tax owed for any of the years you mentioned. This is not

IRS assured us we had no tax liabilities, prior to, and after, our divorce. I have reams of stuff if you need info.

my only defense against these absurd claims, but it is more than sufficient.

During the tax year(s) at issue, did you and your spouse have joint or separate bank accounts, or both? Please list these accounts below:

Type of Account (Checking, Savings, etc.)	Financial Institution	Business or Personal	Joint Account or Separate*

^{*}If you had a separate account, did your spouse know about it? We kept our own accts. They were small.

- 7. What arrangements did you and your spouse have regarding money and payment of household bills? In most of our marriage, I made the money and she spent it. When she began partial co-habitation with other men during the last two years of our marriage, she earned her own money and spent only some of mine. I stopped keeping track of their names after #3, so don't ask me who they were. I frankly don't know why she even came home during those years, except to drop off her laundry.
- 8. How did you plan to pay the tax(es) at issue if not at the time you filed your return(s)? Was your spouse aware of your plan? There were no taxes at issue when we filed. We paid all of our taxes when owed. One tax year you mentioned was 1986, for which we underwent the dreaded Taxpayer Compliance Audit in 1988. No audit is more thorough. IRS closed TY1986 following that TCA in 1988. The next time I heard about taxes "owed" for 1986 was late 1998. My 1997 tax refund was inexplicably late. It took me many months of letters and phone calls to beat it out of the IRS that I had an alleged tax debt. 1998 was the first year IRS said one word about a "tax debt."
- 9. If your return for the tax year(s) at issue received an audit, what return items, if any, changed? Were the changed items yours or your spouse's? (For example, unreported income, disallowed deductions, unclaimed credits) See above. Also, see attached letter regarding the Hoyt entity--which is at the root of this alleged tax debt. Also, see www.mindconnection.com/hoyt. Be sure to ask Steven Mopsick & Tom Rohall where the \$103 million they & Jay Hoyt stole went to. You might also ask someone how a person robbed of \$50k+ by these folks can also be liable for that same loss as an alleged tax debt. There is no logical explanation and there is no law to support this absurd claim. I have asked over 100 IRS people to show me the law, and not one can do so.
- 10. If the audit results changed your business income or deductions on your tax return, did your spouse help you in the business? If so, how? If not, did your spouse know about your business affairs? The audit results showed no problems. Only when the IRS employees involved with Jay Hoyt (who is in prison on 52 counts of fraud after a bankruptcy that produced 5 million pages of court documents) saw they might be exposed did any tax return items change. Prior to that, everything was fine. Even when we inquired about this to the IRS, we got nothing but assurances. In 1998, they changed their story 180 degrees to avoid going to prison where they should be.
- 11. If the items changed by audit were yours, did your spouse benefit from them? Neither of us benefitted.

 During our/my years as Hoyt investors, we put more money out of pocket than if we had not invested. We saw a negative cashflow from this, even with the "tax benefits." The money went to Hoyt and his buddies such as Steven Mopsick & Tom Rohall. IRS and Hoyt lied to us, making us think our retirement investment was safe. Not only did they laugh at us while robbing us blind, but now people like you believe their lies that we owe a tax debt!
- 12. Were any assets transferred between you and your spouse after the beginning of the earliest tax year at issue? Describe reasons for transfers and list dates of transfer. We really didn't have any assets to speak of. After the divorce, there was almost nothing left. We were not wealthy people. And now, thanks to these illegal collection activities by the Internal teRrorism Service, we will be lucky to survive. Haven't you folks done enough damage to us already? Why can't you follow the law? You're 100% wrong to go after either of us.